

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/616,150 | BERQUIST, STEVEN EARL | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Phung T. Nguyen | 2612 | |

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Phung T. Nguyen.

(3) _____.

 (2) Michael B. Lasky No. 29,555.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 8 August 2006
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

42-52

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Applicant authorized the attached Examiner amendment to place application in condition for allowance. See the attached Examiner amendment.
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)